

AML/CFT/GRC OPERATIONS MANUAL

Version № 2.0

January 2025

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The present document has been prepared after thorough review by the board of directors and senior

management.

The document should be read in conjunction with:

- The Anti-Money Laundering and Countering the Financing of TerrorismHandbook, 2020; - The

Financial Intelligence and Anti-Money Laundering (FIAML) Regulations 2018; - The Financial

Intelligence and Anti-Money Laundering Act (FIAMLA) 2002;

- The Anti-Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism (Miscellaneous Provisions)

Act 2020;

- The Guidelines on the Implementation of Targeted Financial Sanctions Under the United Nations

(Financial Prohibitions, Arms Embargo and Travel Ban) Sanctions Act2019 on 25 August 2020;

- The United Nations (Financial Prohibitions, Arms Embargo and Travel Ban) SanctionsAct 2019; - The

FIU, Guidance Note 3 on Suspicious Transaction Report on 21 January 2014.

Application and Responsibility

The content of this Manual applies to all employees, including but not limited to the directors,

authorized individuals, managers, executives and interns of B2B Prime Services (collectively

EMPLOYEES), whether employed full time or part time.

It is the responsibility of all EMPLOYEES to read, understand and observe all the rules and procedures

applicable to them, both in letter and in spirit. Failure to comply with the rules and procedures

contained herein, will constitute serious misconduct.

The overall responsibility of information dissemination and ensuring compliance lies with the

Compliance Officer.

If you become aware of a violation of this manual, if you are instructed by your superior to act in

contravention of this manual, or if you find yourself inadvertently in contravention of this manual, you

must not hesitate to report such contravention to the Compliance Officer. This is the eleventh edition

of the Compliance Manual.

The Manual shall be reviewed annually and at the point of a material change in the AML/CFT legal

requirements as prescribed under the Financial Intelligence and Anti- Money Laundering Act

("FIAMLA"), FIAML Regulations, the FSC AML/CFT Handbook, amongst others. The same shall be updated

on a regular basis and the latest version control applies. Should the changes required be substantial,

the Compliance Officer shall request the senior management to call a meeting with the Board and

review the Manual in its entirety and make the necessary revisions.

Breach of policies and procedure set out in this Manual by anyone in the Company will lead to

disciplinary action as may deem appropriate by the Board of Director. The Company shall maintain a

register of breaches and the responsibility to monitor and maintain the breach register shall be the

designated Compliance officer of the Company.

1 PART I – GOVERNANCE, RISK AND COMPLIANCE (GRC)

1. Introduction

1.1. Why Governance, Risk and Compliance

Compliance with regulatory requirements, prudential norms and industry best practices

enhances the efficiency and reputation of B2B Prime Services (The Company), boosts investor

confidence and helps the management to fulfil stakeholder's expectations of integrity.

Compliance with laws, rules and standards also covers matters such as observing proper

standard of market conduct, ethical business practices, managing conflicts of interest, and fair

treatment of clients and stakeholders. Compliance needs to be integrated into the cultureof

a Company, and shall have to be reinforced by a close alignment of values, processes and

rewards. A holistic approach to compliance ensures that the benefits of compliance far exceed

the related costs.

1.2. Risk of Non-Compliance

The compliance risk is defined as the risk of impairment to The Company business model,

reputation and financial condition (resulting) from a failure to meet laws, regulations, internal

standards and policies, and expectations of key stakeholders such as customers, employees

and society as a whole.

Failure to comply with the FIAML Regulations 2018 and the FIAMLA may result in a finenot

exceeding one million rupees and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 5 years, according

to the FIAMLA Section 32A of 2002, and Regulation 33 of the FIAML Regulations 2018.

2. GRC at B2B Prime Services

At B2B Prime Services, we place the highest priority on complying with rules and regulations

required by the authorities.

The commitment for compliance starts at the highest levels of the firm. Our core principles of

governance and compliance are to:

a) Maintain a compliance function: The role of the compliance function is to identify, assess,

advice on, monitor and report on the company's compliance with regulatory requirements

and the appropriateness, effectiveness and integrity of its supervisory procedures;

b) Act in a professional and ethical manner for the benefit of clients and always put

client's interest first; communicate with clients and others in a clear and fair manner;

c) Act with independence and objectivity; avoid relationships that may impair or appear toimpair

our independence and objectivity;

d) Uphold the rules governing capital markets transparency and disclosure requirements and

comply with letter and spirit of laws and regulations;

e) Develop a business culture that values and promotes not only compliance with the letter of the

law, but also a high ethical and investor-protected standard.

3. Responsibilities of the Board of Directors for GRC

The Governing Board is responsible for overseeing the management of the company's

governance and compliance. The Board should approve the company's GRC policy, including a

formal document establishing a permanent and effective compliance function. At least once

every year, the Board should assess the extent to which B2B Prime Services is managing its

compliance risk effectively.

The Board clearly understands that compliance policies will not be effective unless the Board

promulgates the values of honesty and integrity throughout The Company. Accordingly, the

board has committed to ensure that appropriate policies are in place to manage the

compliance, and employees are made aware of these policies and the modes

ofimplementation.

The Board will oversee the implementation of the policies and ensure that compliance issues

are resolved effectively and expeditiously by senior management with the assistance of the

compliance function.

The financial institution must ensure that the training provided to officers and employees is

comprehensive and ongoing and that the officers and employees are aware of ML and TF, the

associated risks and vulnerabilities of the financial institution, and their corresponding

obligations.

• As part of compliance arrangements, B2B Prime Services is responsible for appointing a

Compliance Officer ("CO") who is responsible for the implementation and ongoing compliance

of B2B Prime Services with internal programmes, controls and accordance with the

requirements of the FIAMLA and FIAML Regulations 2018.

In Addition to appointing a CO, an independent audit function to test the ML and TF policies,

procedures and controls of B2B Prime Services should be maintained.

4. Responsibilities of Directors for GRC

To design, establish and maintain a compliance function and related policies and procedures,

keeping in mind the prevalent regulatory practices of the region where the company operates

and the strategic moral and ethical obligations of the firm to its stakeholders.

• To designate a suitable person who has the appropriate competence, to have the day-to-day

responsibilities for the firm's compliance with regulatory requirements.

To identify and assess on an ongoing basis the new or changed compliance requirements

applicable to the company by any regulatory authorities; and take steps to modify existing

policies and procedures to comply with the new or changed requirements.

To provide compliance advice and support in relation to new business initiatives and ensure

that a robust compliance infrastructure is implemented for any new initiatives that are

undertaken.

5. Money Laundering & Terrorism Financing (ML & TF), and Responsibilities of the

MLRO

• The appointment of a Money Laundering Reporting Officer will be assigned in accordance with

Regulations 26(1) of FIAML Regulations 2018.

• It is imperative that every financial institution appoints an appropriate MLRO who must be of

sufficiently senior status and not below the rank of Manager. (See Part I 4.5 regarding the

discretion to allow Compliance Officers to cumulate the functions of the MLRO).

• The MLRO officer or the Alternate MRLO officer must make sure that all sources of funds are

supported by the relevant documents. It is very important that the MLRO adopt the policy of

Know Your Client (KYC) framework as outlined in the Operations Manual.

The MLRO must ensure that the clients are running according to the business plan. Any change

in business activity must be addressed to the clients.

Proper CDD must be done on each client. Relevant online sources as well as reports provided

by the authorities must be checked in order to ascertain if the client is risky either by virtue of

Politically Exposed Person (PEP) status or has the name listed as terrorists as listed by the US.

In the case of the former PEP, it is incumbent on the MLRO to ensure that procedures are

followed for enhanced due diligence and monitoring.

Countries with deficiencies in their AML regime will need more enhanced due diligence.

suspicious transaction must be reported to the board and the Financial Intelligence Unit using

the appropriate forms found in the Operations Manual.

• It is important to note that the board has given the MLRO the freedom to make his or her

decision and without influence, pressure or fear of repercussions in the event that the senior

colleagues disagree with his / her decision.

Important Aspects

Maven Management Ltd (MML) has a will be providing MLRO, DMLRO and Compliance Service to B2B

Prime Services.

The responsibilities of the MLRO will normally include, as stated in the FIAML Regulations 2018:

a) To undertake a review of all internal disclosures in the light of all available relevant information

and determining whether or not such internal disclosures have substance and require an

external disclosure to be made to the FIU;

b) To maintain all related records;

c) To give guidance on how to avoid tipping off the customer if any disclosure is made;

d) To liaise with the FIU and if required the FSC and participating in any other third-party

enquiries in relation to money laundering or terrorist financing prevention, detection,

investigation or compliance; and

e) To provide reports and other information to the Board, if any cases encountered;

f) To produce in an annual basis (initially) a MLRO Report and submit the same to the board.

6. Responsibilities of the Compliance Officer (CO)

To ensure effective management of the company's compliance function;

To advise management, during the inception of new business processes, of the underlying

integrity and compliance implications of these processes;

• To ensure corporate-wide communication of the compliance policy and its implementation

and to report to the directors on the management The Company's compliance risk; • To act

as a central repository of all information on rules, codes and business practices and ensure

dissemination to all appropriate people in the organization;

To establish detailed written compliance procedures that should be followed by all staff

members; • To ensure that the compliance policies and procedures are observed and

breaches, if any, are remedied immediately and disciplinary actions, if required, are taken

against the personnel responsible for the breach;

To regularly report to the Board on compliance issues (if any cases encountered) and to make

an informed judgment on the effectiveness corporate-wide compliance policy;

• To produce in an annual basis (initially) a Compliance Report and submit the same to the

board; • To report promptly to the Board, of any material compliance failures (e.g., failures

that may attract a significant risk of legal or regulatory sanctions, material financial loss, or

loss to reputation); • To liaise with the Finance Officer to ensure accuracy of financial recording

and compliance with established accounting standards (IFRS);

• To ensure that all requests and instructions of regulators are complied with in a timely and

accurate manner;

To ensure that day to day compliance monitoring and administration are carried out to

specified standards;

To ensure that all registrations with the FSC and other regulatory authorities are current and

up to date;

To work with the legal advisors and ensure that valid agreements with contracting parties or

counterparties are put in place for new business initiatives;

To update compliance manuals and procedures;

• To arrange training and development of staff on regulatory responsibilities.

7. Independent audit

The FIAML Regulations 2018 requires the audit process to be carried out independently. The audit

functions should be independent of, and separate to the executive team dealing with The Company's

Anti-Money Laundering ("AML") and Combating Financing of Terrorism ("CFT") processes.

The auditor must not have been involved in the development of the risk assessment, or the

establishment, implementation, or maintenance of The Company's AML / CFT programme. An

independent audit function shall be appointed by B2B Prime Services, in order to test the ML and TF

policies, procedures and controls that should be maintained.

7.1 Independent Audit Frequency

Independent compliance audit shall be conducted on an annual basis and/or when there hasbeen a

major change in the AML/CFT risk assessment, policies, or procedures.

7.2 Independent Audit Depth

The appointed Independent Audit Function shall:

Evaluate how B2B Prime Services adheres to rules, regulations and laws;

Cover the adequacy and effectiveness of B2B Prime Services' policies, systems, controls, and

procedures relating to AML/CFT. This is done by having a detailed plan covering access to

information and relevant staff, testing of the effectiveness of existing procedures and controls

and any automated systems in use by **The Company**, random selection of transactions/files

for review and record-keeping;

Verify if the AML/CFT programme adopted by B2B Prime Services is adequate and effective;

and

• Advise on any changes that may be required.

The Independent Audit shall test compliance in the following areas:

AML/CFT policies and procedures;

Internal Risk Assessment;

Risk Assessment on the use of third-party service providers (Outsourcing); • Compliance

Officer function and effectiveness;

MLRO function and effectiveness;

Implementation and Effectiveness of Mitigating Controls, including customer due diligence

andenhanced measures;

AML/CFT Training;

Record Keeping Obligations;

Targeted Financial Sanctions; and

Suspicious Transaction Monitoring and Reporting.

8. Cost of Compliance

• There is a cost to compliance which needs to be factored into the operations of **B2B Prime**

Services.

Prior to the annual budget preparation, the Compliance Team should be consulted for their

budget and expectations. The budget allocated to the project will directly correlate to the

company's risk exposure!

• If there are budget cutbacks, these need to be clearly explained and documented.

9. Conduct of Business Policies in Governance & Compliance

9.1 Client Restrictions

• The Company ensure that it conducts its business only with genuine and trustworthy clients.

Internal procedures are design to ensure a thorough check on the client's past experience and

CDD and relevant KYC check.

• The Company shall establish appropriate systems and controls to ensure that the financial

status of the clients and their credentials to qualify as a client are checked prior to being

admitted as a client.

9.2 Responsible Conduct

As a registered company providing Global Business Services, including "Full Investment Dealer Licence

(Excluding Underwriting)", it is our professional and ethical responsibility to conduct ourselves in the

most responsible manner and with clients" best interests in mind.

The client's interest is paramount to the firm. We are responsible to safeguard our client's interest, to

avoid conflict of interest situations, to communicate with the client in an honest and fair manner, deal

fairly and objectively with the clients and treat all clients fairly and equally.

9.3 Conflict of Interest

All clients shall be treated fairly. Any conflict of interest between the client and the firmshall

be avoided.

• Client interests are paramount. All employees of our company including Managers should

ensure that client interests supersede employees" interests in all aspects of client

relationship,including (but not limited to) recommendations, advice or change in prior

recommendations and actions.

Where the conflict of interest is unavoidable such conflicts shall be managed in such a waythat

the client's interest has priority and is protected. If the conflict of interest is of significant

nature, the firm shall decline to act for the client.

• We must not act, or cause others to act, on material non-public information or knowledge that

could affect the value of a publicly traded investment. Procedures shall be established to

create effective information barriers ("Chinese walls") to prevent the disclosure and misuse

of material non-public information.

9.4 Dealing with Clients' Money

Segregation of client money in a separate CLIENTS Bank account is important and money is to be

monitored and documented.

10. Confidentiality

We will treat all information collected from its customers and employees for the purpose ofcarrying

out its business or administrative functions as confidential.

11. AML Offences in Mauritius

A. Section 3 of the FIAMLA states that:

(1) Any person who

a) engages in a transaction that involves property which is, or in whole or in part directly or

indirectly represents, the proceeds of any crime; or

b) receives, is in possession of, conceals, disguises, transfers, converts, disposes of, removes from

or brings into Mauritius any property which is, or in whole or in part directly or indirectly

represents, the proceeds of any crime, where he suspects or has reasonable grounds for

suspecting that the property is derived or realized, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly

from any crime, shall commit an offence.

(2) A reporting person who fails to take such measures as are reasonably necessary to ensure that

neither he, nor any service offered by him, is capable of being used by a person to commit or to

facilitate the commission of a money laundering offence or the financing of terrorism shall commit an

offence.

B. Section 4 of the FIAMLA states:

Without prejudice to section 109 of the Criminal Code (Supplementary) Act, any person who agrees

with one or more other persons to commit an offence specified in section 3(1) and (2) shall commit

an offence.

C. Section 5 of the FIAMLA states:

(1) Notwithstanding section 37 of the Bank of Mauritius Act 2004, but subject to subsection (2), any

person who makes or accepts any payment in cash in excess of 500,000 rupees or an equivalent

amount in foreign currency, or such amount as may be prescribed, shall commit an offence. (2)

Subsection (1) shall not apply to an exempt transaction.

D. Section 8 of the FIAMLA states:

(1) Any person who -

(a) commits an offence under this Part; or

(b) disposes or otherwise deals with property subject to a forfeiture order under subsection (2), shall,

on conviction, be liable to a fine not exceeding 10 million rupees and to penal servitude for a term not

exceeding 20 years.

(2) Any property belonging to or in the possession or under the control of any person who is convicted

of an offence under this Part shall be deemed, unless the contrary is proved, to be derived from a

crime and the Court may, in addition to any penalty imposed, order that the property be forfeited.

(3) Sections 150, 151 and Part X of the Criminal Procedure Act and the Probation of Offenders Act shall

not apply to a conviction under this Part.

E. Section 16(1) of FIAMLA states:

Any reporting person and its officers shall not disclose to any person that a suspicious transaction

report is being or has been filed, or that related information is being or has been requested by,

furnished or submitted to FIU.

F. Section 16(3a) of FIAMLA states:

Any person who fails to comply with subsection (1) shall commit an offence and shall, on conviction,

be liable to a fine not exceeding 5 million rupees and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10

years.

G. Section 17(c)(6) of FIAMLA states:

Customer due diligence requirements

Any person who knowingly provides any false or misleading information to a reporting person in

connection with CDD requirements under the FIAMLA or any guidelines issued under this Act shall

commit an offence and shall, on conviction, be liable to a fine not exceeding 500,000 rupees and to

imprisonment for a term not exceeding 5 years.

H. Section 19 of FIAMLA states:

Offences relating to obligation to report and keep records and to disclosure of information

prejudicial to a request

(1) Any reporting person, or any director, employee, agent or other legal representative of a reporting

person who, knowingly or without reasonable excuse –

a) fails to comply with section 17, 17A, 17B. 17C, 17D, 17E, 17F or 17G;

b) destroys or removes any record, register or document which is required under this Act or any

regulations; or

c) facilitates or permits the performance under a false identity of any transaction falling within

this Part, shall commit an offence and shall, on conviction, be liable to a fine not exceeding

10 million rupees and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 5 years.

(2) Any person who –

a) falsifies, conceals, destroys or otherwise disposes of or causes or permits the falsification,

concealment, destruction or disposal of any information, document or material which is or is

likely to be relevant to a request to under the Mutual Assistance in Criminal and Related

Matters Act 2003; or

b) knowing or suspecting that an investigation into a money laundering offence has been or is

about to be conducted, divulges that fact or other information to another person whereby

the making or execution of a request to under the Mutual Assistance in Criminal and Related

Matters Act 2003 is likely to be prejudiced, shall commit an offence and shall, on conviction,



be liable to a fine not exceeding one million rupees and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 5 years.

I. Section 19e of the FIAMLA provides that:

Duty to provide information

Any person who fails to comply with a request made under subsection (2)(b) shall commit an offence and shall, on conviction, be liable to a fine not exceeding one million rupees and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 5 years.

J. FIAML Regulations 2018

Regulation 33 of FIAML Regulations 2018 states that any person who contravenes the FIAML Regulations 2018 shall commit an offence and shall on conviction be liable to a fine not exceeding one million rupees and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 5 years.

12. Maintenance of Records

- We will review the advanced information and documentation management policies, procedures and standards of ISO 9001, ISO 154489 and ISO 27001 and implement where necessary.
- All records obtained through CDD measures, including account files, business correspondence
 and copies of all documents evidencing the identity of customers and beneficial owners, and
 records and the results of any analysis/assessment undertaken in accordance with the
 FIAMLA, all of which shall be maintained for a period of not less than 7 years.
- Adequate records will be maintained by the Firm for all transactions it undertakes, including but not limited to the following summation:

Detail	Record keeping requirement
Client verification, due diligence, client agreements, complaints and any other client related documentation	Minimum period of seven years from the date on which the business relationship has ended.
Financial Statements and reports	Minimum period of seven years from the date on which it was provided

All records and documents not mentioned in the preceding table shall be maintained for a

minimum period of seven years.

Records on transactions, both domestic and international, that are sufficient to permit

reconstruction of each individual transaction for both account holders and non-account

holders, which shall be maintained for a period of seven years after the completion of the

transaction; and

• Copies of all suspicious transaction reports made pursuant to section 14 or other reports made

to FIU in accordance with the FIAMLA, including any accompanying documentation, which

shall be determined for a period of at least seven years from the date the report was made.

• All records shall be available for inspection by the FSC at all times during office hours. • The

joint authorisation of the Compliance Officer and one Director shall be required before

destruction of any record is affected.

The Compliance Officer ensures that the compliance policies and procedures are observed

properly and breaches if any are remedied immediately and disciplinary actions if required are

taken against the personnel responsible for the breach.

The Compliance officer ensuresthat all regulators" requests and instructions are complied with

in a timely and accurate manner.

The Compliance Officer will ensure that compliance monitoring and administration is carried

out strictly according to the compliance program.

The following information should be kept for every transaction carried out in the course of a

businessrelationship or one-off transaction:

a) The name and address of the customer;

b) If a monetary transaction, the kind of currency and the amount;

c) If the transaction involves a customer"s account, the number, name or other identifier forthe

account;

d) The date of the transaction;

e) The details of the counterparty, including account details;

f) The nature of the transaction; and

g) The details of the transaction.

ALL Records shall be kept appropriately for a period of at least Seven (7) Years

13.PART II - RISKS

1. Risk

B2B Prime Services will ensure that the approved party/ies carrying out its controlled function:

a) Must act with integrity;

b) Must act with due Skill, Care and Diligence;

c) Must observe proper standards of market conduct;

d) Must deal with the FSC and other regulators in an open and co-operative way; and

e) Must disclose appropriately any information of which the FSC would reasonably expectnotice;

f) Must take reasonable steps to ensure that the regulated business of the company isorganized

so that it can be controlled effectively;

g) Must be of financial soundness;

h) Must report to the board;

i) Must be aware of emerging regulatory issues.

Compliance mode culture along with a values-led culture within B2B Prime Services will together

create a symbiotic relationship to a full Compliance Programme. Implementation of appropriate Risk

Controls will also be more effective built on Trust and not if the GRC Officer is seen as an enforcer,

opportunist or snitch. Personal Integrity forms part of the fundamental aspects of a good compliance

model. The objective is the same; a successful company that observes the relevant codes of practice.

Reports regarding the Risk Classification, Expired or Missing KYC, PEPs, etc, are generated on a regular

basis, in order to keep timely and updated information, which can be provided to the relevant

stakeholders, and authorities at short notice.

2. Risk Based Approach

A risk-based approach requires us to assess the risks of how we might be involved in ML and TF, taking

into account clients, countries or geographic areas, the products, services and transactions the clients

offer or undertake, and the delivery channels by which those products, services and/or transactions

are provided.

The following are procedural steps to manage the ML and TF risks, according to the FSC AML CFT

Handbook 2020, Updated on 31 March 2021:

2.1 Identifying the Risk

Identifying the specific threats posed to the firm by ML and TF and those areas of the firm's

business with the greatest vulnerability;

A periodic review of clients" existing activities should be conducted using the necessary and

available means.

The company and client's risk is reviewed whilst taking into account that the problem mayalso

be considered as an opportunity.

B2B Prime Services' MLRO and Compliance Officer may have the further following queries:

a) Are we tracking changes on beneficial owners over time?

b) Are we completing our periodic screening using the CDD tools?

c) Did we check the FSC recommended Sanctions and PEP lists and any other PublicRecords Data

Sources?

d) Are the financial transactions in accordance with the business plan and the contracts inplace?

e) Are transactions being thoroughly monitored?

f) Is the risk related to Market Abuse?

g) Is data destruction properly managed? Both physical and digital?

h) Is training in place for both initial and knowledge update, to all staff members, to ensure that

policies and procedures regarding ML and TF are being strictly followed?

i) Are the policies read and understood by all staff members?

j) Are the authority limits clear?

k) Is the business plan verified against the business transactions/operations on a regularbasis?

I) If the above conflicts with the actual operations, are the necessary procedures in place

toensure updating of the business plan with the relevant submission to the authorities?

In the case of card-based products for Electronic Funds Transfer, the application of the ACI product —

Proactive Risk Manager is in place.

2.2 Assessing the Risk

Assessing the likelihood of those threats occurring and the potential impact of them on the

financial institution.

• All changes to the activities from both the original activities and from the original businessplan

are to be reviewed and graded.

The assessment of risk should be completed independently from the Senior Management

of the client companies.

• The current legislation and the adherence to the same will form part of the compliance

risk. Any mitigating factors will be considered when reviewing the risk profile.

The problem or opportunity may be able to generate alternative solutions – these should be

considered when assessing the risk.

The client product needs to be reviewed for changes over a period of time and how these impacts (if

at all) the risk profile of the client.

2.3 Mitigating the Risk

Mitigating the likelihood of occurrence of identified threats and the potential for damage to

be caused, primarily through the application of appropriate and effective policies, procedures

and controls.

Examples of mitigating measures:

a) The application of additional elements of enhanced due diligence;

b) The introduction of enhanced relevant reporting mechanisms or systematic reporting of

financial transactions;

c) The limitation of business relationships or transactions with natural persons or legalentities

from the countries identified as high risk countries.

2.4 Managing the Risk

• Managing the residual risks arising from the threats, and vulnerabilities that the financial

institution has been unable to mitigate.

2.5 Reviewing and Monitoring the Risk

Reviewing and monitoring those risks to identify whether there have been any changes in the

threats posed to the financial institution which necessitate changes to its policies, procedures

and controls.

Once the Risk has been assessed, the inherent risks reviewed, the mitigating factors

considered, the Residual Risk is then at hand. An Action plan is put in place for execution under

a supervision of compliance. The follow-up and review should be at regular intervals

depending on the nature of the transactions or business under review. The monitoring

reviewshould be documented.

The client along with the business manager need to closely manage the associated risks

and should be encouraged to work within guidelines proposed.

B2B Prime Services' Compliance and Risk Officer will ask the following questions:

a) Is pattern detection in place?

b) Are there periodic Business Risk Assessments, Client Risk Assessments, Third PartyReliance Risk

Assessments, etc, reviews which may further implicate the risks of B2B Prime Services?

c) If so, are those periodic reviews being completed and properly documented?

2.6 Advising on the Risk

Evaluating Alternatives and Selecting a Solution. This may be done by ensuring the staff putforward

the problems:

• Persistently and in pursuit of common goals

• With rational persuasion, a consultative approach, a positive exchange and in collaboration with the

departments concerned.

• The staff should be encouraged to refrain from legitimization; pressure; ingratiation andpersonal or

emotional appeals.

• Market Efficiency need to be assessed against Social Justice.

> The DOCUMENTATION on the Risk advice needs to be comprehensive and more specifically

documented WHY the risk profile has increased/decreased or requires reporting. Emails, operational

and board minutes as well as bank transfers and statements may all be used in the documentation

trail. Formal board minutes are not the only method of documentation.

> A business Conduct Committee may be established depending on the volume of high-risk clients

identified and who should work within the Policy Documents provided.

B2B Prime Services' Compliance and Risk Officer will ask the following questions: (a) Have the high

risk client reports been delivered to the Board?

(b) Have appropriate steps been taken to minimize the risk for the client and for B2B Prime Services?

2.7 Reporting the Risk

• If the risk needs to be reported to the Board, has this been done properly and documented after

following the above steps?

• Have the appropriate corrective measures been taken to monitor and contain the risk?

3. Business Risk Assessment

The Business Risk Assessment considers the extent of **B2B Prime Services'** exposure to risk. Identifying

areas where the Company's services could be exposed to the risks of ML and TF, and taking appropriate

steps to ensure that any identified risks are managed and mitigated, arecrucial aspects of a risk-based

approach.

Section 17(2) of the FIAMLA requires businesses to assess 6 key areas when undertaking thebusiness

risk assessment amongst other risk factors:

• The nature, scale and complexity of the financial institution's activities;

• The products and services provided by the financial institution's;

• The persons to whom and the manner in which the products and services are provided; • The nature,

scale, complexity and location of the customer's activities;

• Reliance on third parties for elements of the customer due diligence process; and • Technological

developments.

B2B Prime Services shall record and document its risk assessment in order to be able to demonstrate

its basis. The assessment shall be regularly reviewed and amended to keep it up to date. While

conducting it Business Risk, the Findings of the latest National Risk Assessment of the Jurisdiction must

be taken into consideration as part of its assessment.

The Business Risk Assessment is set to be reviewed annually as part of operations and included in the

Board Report annually, so that evidences that an appropriate review has takenplace.

4. Customer Risk Assessment

• The customer risk assessment must be conducted before establishing a business relationship or

carrying out transaction, with or for, the customer. This will allow us to verify the risk ofML/TF of our

clients, transactions, etc, beforehand.

• This Assessment needs to be documented in order to be able to demonstrate its basis.

This risk assessment will let us determine the following:

j) The extent of identification information to be sought;

k) Any additional information that needs to be requested;

I) How that information will be verified;

m) The extent to which the relationship will be monitored on an ongoing basis.

It should be noted that the FSC has no objection to a financial institution having higher risk customers,

provided that they have been adequately risk assessed and any mitigating factors documented.

When the customer is assessed as presenting a higher risk, Enhanced Due Diligence must be obtained.

A basic Risk Assessment will consist of the following processes:

a) Collecting information;

b) Assessing and evaluating;

c) Determining initial risk rating;

d) Collecting additional information and documentation;

e) Assessing and evaluating additional information and documentation;

f) Confirming risk rating;

g) Conducting on-going due diligence.

The Customer Risk Assessments (including Third-party Service Providers) frequency shall be as

follows:

a) At least once annually for higher risk customers / entities (or those parts of a group structure where

any entity is rated high);

b) At least every 2 years for Medium risk customers / entities (or those parts of a group structure

where any entity is rated medium);

c) At least every 3 years for Low risk customers / entities (or those parts of a group structure where

any entity is rated low);

d) At the point of a material change in customer's circumstances, for example, establishing

connections with a higher risk jurisdiction or engaging in a higher riskbusiness.

Risk Assessment Factors Taken into Consideration:

a) The client's activity;

b) The services / products provided by the client, and to whom the same is provided to; c) The

involvement of third parties in the client's activity.

d) Location - Individuals, business entities or organizations that are located in any country or territory

or doing business with a country or territory that is featured from time to time on any Sanctions Listsor

the list of Business from Sensitive Sources will automatically be rated as high risk.

e) Political exposure of the Beneficial Owner or Beneficiary;

f) Type Relationship (e.g., Fiduciary, Trust/Company Structures);

g) Powers of Attorney;

h) Bearer Shares;

i) Status of Litigation - Although the Company anticipates that most client relationships will not be

litigious, it recognises that where litigation is pending, threatened or current, additional

managementattention and focus is warranted. As such, higher risk scores are associated with these

litigation categories;

i) Investments - Types and Asset Value.

Risk Factors taken into consideration when identifying the level of TF risk associated with a country

or territory included:

a) Is there information (for example, from law enforcement or credible and reliable open media

sources) suggesting that a country or territory provides funding or support for terrorist activities or

that groups committing terrorist offences are known to be operating in the country or territory?

b) Is the country or territory subject to financial sanctions, embargoes or measures that are related to

terrorism, financing of terrorism or proliferation issued by, for example, the UNor the EU?

Risk factors that the financial institution can consider when identifying the risk associated with the

level of predicate offences to ML in a country or territory include:

a) Is there information from credible and reliable public sources about the level of predicate offences

to ML in the country or territory, for example, corruption, organised crime, tax crime and serious

fraud? Examples include corruption perceptions indices; OECD countryreports on the implementation

of the OECD"s anti-bribery convention; and the UN Office on Drugs and Crime World Drug Report.

b) Is there information from more than one credible and reliable source about the capacity of the

country's or the territory's investigative judicial system effectively to investigate and prosecute these

offences?

Important Aspect

In general, **B2B Prime Services** shall not provide any services to:

a) Individuals, business entities, organizations, jurisdictions, territories or states subject to United

Nations" or other sanction applicable either in the jurisdiction or in any other jurisdiction in which

Affiliated Offices are located; or

b) The organization will not (generally) provide fiduciary Services for:

- Internet gaming specifically targeted to US residents;

- Internet pharmacies;

- Businesses likely to pose an environmental threat, where THE COMPANYretains both ownership and

risk;

- Terrorist activities; or

- Hawala and other non-licensed, non-regulated alternate remittance systems.

Certain types of businesses must be referred to the Regional Risk Committee for approval in order for

The Company to accept management of the entity. Any approval must be in writing and form a

permanent part of the client's due diligence file.

These businesses include:

a) Internet gambling or gaming, not specifically targeted to US residents;

b) Internet adult entertainment; or

c) Environmental Threat – where The Company retains ownership, but the operator holds the risk of

the operation (e.g., oil rigs owned by the entity, but the risk is assumed solely by the operator).

3. PART III – CUSTOMER DUE DILIGENCE (CDD)

1. Identification and Verification

A key element of the prevention of money laundering and combating the financing of terrorismis the

capability of the Company / FI to identify its customers, and their beneficial owners, and then verify

their identities.

B2B Prime Services undertakes the following CDD measures:

• Identifying and verifying the identity of each applicant for business;

• Identifying and verifying the identity of individuals connected to the account or transaction, such as

the customer's beneficial owner(s);

Identify all natural persons who ultimately have a controlling ownership interest in thecustomer;

Where there is doubt as to whether the person with the controlling ownership interest is the beneficial

owner or where no natural person exerts control through ownership interests, the identity of the

natural person exercising control of the legal person through other means as may be specified by

relevant regulatory body or supervisory authority; and

• Where no natural person is identified, the identity of the natural person who holds theposition of

senior managing official;

• Obtaining information on the purpose and intended nature of the business relationship (the inability

for employees to understand the commercial rationale for business relationship may result in the

failure to identity non-commercial and therefore potential money laundering and financing of

terrorism activity);

• Conducting ongoing due diligence on the business relationship and scrutiny of transactions

throughout the course of that relationship, to ensure that the transactions in which the Customer is

engaged are consistent with B2B Prime Services' knowledge of the customerand its business and risk

profile (including the source of funds);

• Achieving each of the above measures by using reliable, independently sourced documents, data or

information (this is intended through the use of commercial databases and public information); and

ensuring that all material collected under the CDD process is kept relevantand up to date (for example

undertaking reactive reviews in response to trigger events, and by undertaking regular planned

reviews of existing records at intervals determined by risk rating, with higher risk customers warranting

more frequent reviews);

• Determining whether the applicant for the business is acting on behalf of a third-party. Ifthat's the

case, the it must keep a record setting out the following:

a) The identity of the third-party (and any beneficial owners or associated persons asrequired);

b) The proofs of identity required under Regulation 3 of the FIAML Regulations 2018; and

c) The relationship between the third-party and the applicant for business.

• Where *B2B Prime Services* is unable to determine whether the applicant is acting for a third party or

not, make a Suspicious Transaction Report (STR), pursuant to Section 14 of the FIAMLA to the Financial

Intelligence Unit (FIU).

Any person, who knowingly provides any false or misleading information to a reporting personin

connection with CDD requirements or any guidelines issued under the FIAMLA, shall commit an

offence and shall, on conviction, be liable to a fine not exceeding 500,000 rupees and to imprisonment

for a term not exceeding 5 years.

In order to start a business relationship and conduct a thorough and successful due diligence check on

clients, the appropriate KYC complete documentation needs to be filed and kept up todate.

B2B Prime Services must keep and maintain customer relationship information with respect to all its

customers as detailed in the CDD measures listed above. This includes scrutinizing the source of funds

and the source of wealth.

The Source of Funds refers to the origin of the particular funds or assets, which are the subject of the

business relationship between the financial institution and its client and the transactions the financial

institution is required to undertake on the client's behalf. The Source of funds requirement refers to

where the funds are coming from in order to fund the relationship or transaction. This does not refer

to every payment going through the account; however, the financial institution must ensure it

complies with the ongoing monitoring provisions.

• The Source of Funds shall be required as follows:

a) When a Client (Natural Person) reaches / exceeds the total Transaction Amount of USD 30,000.00;

b) When a Client (Corporate / Entity / Trust / Legal Body) exceeds the total Transaction Amount of USD

50,000.00.

The source of wealth on the other hand, describes the origins of a customer's financial standingor total

net worth, i.e. activities which have generated a customer's funds and property. A financial institution

is required to hold sufficient information to establish the source of wealth and this information must

be obtained for all higher risk customers (including higher risk domestic PEPs) and all foreign PEPs and

all other relationships where the type of product or service being offered makes it appropriate to do

so because of its risk profile.

• The Source of Funds shall be required as follows:

a) When a Client (Natural Person) reaches / exceeds the total Transaction Amount of USD 30,000.00;

b) When a Client (Corporate / Entity / Trust / Legal Body) exceeds the total Transaction Amount of USD

50,000.00.

• Identification is required of any Individual who is:

a) Settlor, Trustee, Co-Trustee, Protector, Enforcer, Advisor and beneficiary of a Trust; b) Beneficial

Owner (20% or more interest), shareholder (20% or more shares), guarantor or ultimate beneficial

owner of legal body or an entity;

c) Partner (general and/or limited), Director or Officer of an entity;

d) Promoter, Investment Manager, Custodian or investors of a Fund;

e) Lead Manager, Issuer, Recipient of funds raised via any Capital Markets Product orinvestors; or

f) Any Party to a Power of Attorney.

• Necessary KYC documentation / data for Natural PersonsData

a) Legal Name (the full legal and any other names, including, marital name, former legalname or alias);

b) Sex;



- c) Date of birth;
- d) Place of birth;
- e) Nationality;
- f) Current residential address (PO Box addresses are not acceptable);
- g) Permanent residential address (if different than above);
- h) Any public position held and, where appropriate, nature of employment and name of employer;
- i) Government issued personal identification number or other government issued unique identifier;

Documentation

- a) Current valid Passport / National Identity Card (the document must incorporate photographic evidence of identity);
- **b)** Current valid Driving Licence (where the financial Institute is satisfied that the driving licensing authority carries out a check on the holder's identity before issuing the licence –the document must incorporate photographic evidence of identity);
- c) A recent (within the last 3 months) utility bill issued to the individual by name as Proofof current or permanent residential address;
- d) A recent bank or credit card statement; or
- e) A letter or other written confirmation of the individual'sstatusfrom the public body inquestion;
- f) A letter or other written confirmation of employment;
- g) Recent pay slips;
- h) Updated CV.
- Necessary KYB documentation / data for Private companies, Partnerships, Sociétés, Foundations,
 Trusts and other legal persons

Data

- a) Legal status of body;
- b) Legal name of body;
- c) Date and country of incorporation / registration;
- d) Official identification number (e.g. company number);
- e) Registered office address;
- f) Ownership and control structure;
- g) The identity of all the natural persons who ultimately have an ownership interest of 20 percent or more;



h) for trusts, the identity of the settlor, the trustee, the beneficiaries or class of beneficiaries, and where applicable, the protector or the enforcer, and any other natural person exercising ultimate effective control over the trust, including through a chain of control orownership;

Documentation

- a) Certificate of Incorporation (or other appropriate certificate of registration or licensing);
- **b)** Memorandum and Articles of Association (or equivalent);
- c) Shareholder registry;
- d) Director Registry;
- e) Company Structure;
- f) Latest Audited Financial Statements;
- **g)** Partnership deed or equivalent;
- h) Trust Deed or equivalent instrument;
- i) Charter of Foundation;
- j) Acte de Société;
- Provision for actions to be taken in the event of incomplete CDDInitially this KYC may constitute the following:
- > Natural Persons
- Passport/National ID
- CV
- Proof of Address
- ➤ Legal Person / Legal Body / Entity / Corporate
- Certificate of incorporation
- Current Standing
- Trade Permit, Licence or equivalent
- Share Register and or Directors Register
- UBO register
- Latest Financial Statements
- Memorandum & Articles of Association, Constitution or equivalent
- Beneficiary Owner's KYC (holding 20% or more of the Shares)
- Trust Deed
- Trust Register (Settlor, Trustees, Protector and Beneficiaries)

After verifying the identity of the client and if there is no adverse information regarding the same,

additional KYC is requested from the client so that the registering process may be finalised.

The Registering process may not be completed before the full KYC is provided. In the event the client

does not provide all the necessary KYC there will not be a business relationship with the client.

• Provisions for actions to be taken in the event the Beneficial Owner (BO) cannot be identified,

where the client is a Private company, Partnership, Société, Foundation, Trust and other legal

persons

In case the client is a legal person, **B2B Prime Services** shall identify and take reasonable measures to

verify the identity of the Beneficial Owners by obtaining information on the following: a) The identity

of all the natural persons who ultimately have an ownership interest of 20% or more in the legal

person;

b) Where there is doubt under the above paragraph (a), as to whether the person with the ownership

interest of 20% is a BO or where no natural person exerts control through ownership interests, the

identity of the natural person exercising control of the legal person through other means as maybe

specified by relevant regulatory body or supervisory authority; and

c) Where no natural person is identified under the two paragraphs above (a) and (b), the identity of

the relevant person who holds the position of Senior Managing Official.

d) In case none of the above can be determined, the onboarding process shall not take place.

2. Simplified CDD

2.1. Situations on which Simplified CDD can be applied

• Where the risk of Money Laundering (ML) or Financing of Terrorism (TF) is lower; • Where

information on the identity of the applicant for the business is publicly available; or • Where adequate

checks and controls exist elsewhere in the national systems; • Where there is a low level of risk, it shall

be ensured that the low risk identified is consistent with

the findings of the national risk assessment or any risk assessment carried out, whichever is most

recently issued.

2.2. Situations on which Simplified CDD must not be applied

• Where the financial institution knows, suspects or has reasonable grounds for knowing or suspecting

that a customer or applicant for a business is engaged in ML/TF; or • Where Transactions being

conducted by the customer or applicant for business is beingcarried out on behalf of another person

engaged in Money Laundering; or

• Where there are other indicators of ML/TF risk.

2.3. Important Aspects

• The Financial Institution must document the decision of adopting the Simplified measures in respect

of a customer or applicant for a business. This must be done in a manner which explains the factors

which it took into account and its reasons for adopting the measures in question; and

• Keep the relationship with the customer or applicant under review, and operate appropriate policies,

procedures and controls for doing so;

• The Financial Institution must keep the client risk assessment up to date and review the

appropriateness of CDD obtained even if Simplified CDD measures are adopted.

3. Enhanced Due Diligence (EDD)

3.1. Where to perform EDD

• A higher risk of ML/TF has been identified;

• Where through supervisory guidance a high risk of ML/TF financing has been identified; • Where a

customer or an applicant for business is from a high-risk third country; • Where business relations, and

transactions and persons established in jurisdictions that do not have adequate systems in place to

combat ML/TF;

• Where the customer or the applicant for business is a PEP (Political Exposed Person); • Where the

individual or entity is named on a Sanctions List;

• Where it has been determined that the customer has provided false or stolen identification

documentation or information and the reporting person proposes to continue to deal with that

customer;

• In the event of unusual or suspicious activity.

B2B Prime Services implemented EDD procedures with respect to high-risk persons, business relations

and transactions and persons established in jurisdictions that do not have adequate systems in place

to combat ML and TF.

3.2. EDD measures that may apply for higher risk business relationships • Requesting additional

information on the customer (e.g. occupation, volume of assets, information available through public

databases, internet, etc.), and updating on a frequentbasis the identification data of the customer and

or the beneficial owner;

• Obtaining additional information on the intended nature of the business relationship and the source

of fund/wealth;

• Obtaining information on the intended or performed transactions;

Obtaining the approval of senior management to commence or continue the businessrelationship;

Conducting enhanced monitoring of the business relationship, by increasing the number and timing

of controls applied, and selecting patterns of transactions that need further examination; • Requiring

the first payment to be carried out through an account in the customer's name witha bank subject to

similar CDD standards;

• Any other measures a financial institution may undertake with relation to a high riskrelationship.

3.3. Important Aspects

• In case the reporting person is unable to perform Enhanced CDD where required under Section 12

of the FIAML Regulations 2018, the business relationship shall be terminated and an STR shall be filed

according to Section 14 of the FIAMLA;

• The reporting person shall include the beneficiary of a life insurance policy as a relevant risk factor

when determining whether enhanced CDD measures are required;

• Where a reporting person determines that the beneficiary who is a legal person or a legal

arrangement presents a higher risk, the reporting person shall take enhanced due diligence measures

which shall include reasonable measures to identify and verify the identity of the beneficial owner of

the beneficiary at the time of payout.

4. Politically Exposed Persons (PEPs)

PEPs are individuals who are or who have been entrusted with prominent public functions (e.g. Heads

of State or of Government, Senior Politicians, Senior Government, Judicial or Military Officials, Senior

Executive of State-owned Corporations and important Political Party Officials) in foreign, domestic and

international organisation PEP, as well as family members and close associates of such person.

Business relationships with PEPs pose a greater than normal money laundering risk to financial

institutions, by virtue of the possibility for them to have benefitted from proceeds of corruption, as

well as the potential for PEPs (due to their offices and connections) to conceal the proceeds of

corruption or other crimes.

4.1. Procedures Applicable to Foreign PEPs

• Put in place and maintain appropriate risk management systems to determine whether the customer

or beneficial owner is a PEP;

• Obtain senior management approval before establishing or continuing, for existingcustomers, such

business relationships;

• Obtain similar approval from senior management in cases of family members or closeassociates of

PEPs;

• Take reasonable measures to establish the source of wealth and the source of funds ofcustomers

and beneficial owners identified as PEPs; and

• Conduct enhanced ongoing monitoring on that relationship.

4.2. Procedures Applicable to Domestic PEPs or an International Organisation PEP • Take reasonable

measures to determine whether a customer or the beneficial owner is such a person; and

• In cases when there is higher risk business relationship with a domestic PEP, adopt themeasures in

paragraphs on Point "4.1.".

4.3. Important Aspects

• A reporting person shall apply the relevant requirements of paragraphs "6.1 and 6.2" to family

members or close associates of all types of PEP, as may be specified by a supervisoryauthority or

regulatory body after consultation with the National Committee.

• A reporting Person shall, in relation to life insurance policies, at any time but before the timeof

payout, take reasonable measures to determine whether the beneficiaries or the beneficial owner of

the beneficiary, are PEPs, provided that where higher risks are identified, the reporting person shall:

a) Inform senior management before the payout of the policy proceeds;

b) Conduct enhanced scrutiny on the whole business relationship with the policyholder; and

c) Consider making a suspicious transaction report.

4.3.1. Defining "Family Members"

• It means an individual who is related to a PEP either directly through consanguinity,or through

marriage or similar civil forms of partnership; and

• It includes any other person as may be specified by a supervisory authority or regulatorybody after

consultation with the National Committee.

4.3.2. Defining "Close Associates"

• It means an individual who is closely connected to a PEP, either socially or professionally; and • It

includes any other person as may be specified by a supervisory authority or regulatorybody after

consultation with the National Committee;

5. Third-Party Reliance

5.1. Risk Assessment on third-parties

• When reliance is place on a third party to introduce business or to perform CDD measures, the

following may be considered:

a) Consider how reliance on third parties is prompted and agreed on;

b) Consider who these third parties are, including any reputational issues, the quality of relationships

with such third parties and previous experiences;

c) Consider the extent and type of any reliance placed or to be placed on third parties; d) Consider the

extent of the information being provided by the third party and who hasactually met the customer

face-to-face (chains of information);

e) Consider any jurisdictional issues in connection with reliance placed on third parties; f) Consider the

results of any testing undertaken on the third party's procedures and theresponses to any previous

requests for documentation;

g) Consider the extent of any outsourcing undertaken;

h) Consider the quality of the provider for any outsourced functions including any reputational issues,

previous experiences with the provider, the results of any audits, assessments or inspections where

the material generated as a result of outsourcing hasbeen reviewed.

5.2. Procedures to be satisfied regarding reliance on third-parties

• There must have a signed agreement between the fund or its administrator and the relevantthird

party, in which the third party consents to being relied upon for these purposes and undertakes; •

Where reliance is placed on a third party for elements of CDD, the financial institution must ensure

that the identification information sought from the third party is adequate and accurate; • The CDD

information has to be submitted immediately upon onboarding, although the documents can be

provided upon request at a later date;

• The third party will provide, immediately upon request, relevant copies of identificationdata in

accordance with Regulation 21(2)(b) of the FIAML Regulations 2018; and

• The quality of the third party's CDD measures is such that it can be relied upon; • Where such reliance

is permitted, the ultimate responsibility for CDD measures willremain with the financial institutions

relying on the third party;

• Reliance may only be placed on third parties to carry out CDD measures in relation to the

identification and verification of a customer's identity and the establishment of the purposeand

intended nature of the business relationship;

• Reliance may be placed on a third party that is part of the same financial group, where:

a) The group applies CDD and record keeping requirements and programmes againstML/TF;

b) The implementation of those CDD and record-keeping requirements and programmes against

money laundering and terrorism financing is supervised at a group level by a competent authority; and

c) Any higher country risk is adequately mitigated by the group's policies to combat money laundering

and terrorism financing.

• Third parties may not be relied upon to carry out the ongoing monitoring of dealings with acustomer,

including identifying the source of wealth or source of funds;

• A financial institution may not rely on a third party based in a high risk country.

The FSC recommends that regular assurance testing is carried out in respect of the third party

arrangements, to ensure that the CDD documents can be retrieved without undue delay and that the

documentation received is sufficient pursuant to section 17(2)(v) of the FIAMLA.

5.3. Third-Party Introducers

• Financial institution should subject third-party introducers to the full identification and verification

CDD measures for identification and verification as provided under the FIAML Regulations 2018.

• In line with the third-party reliance obligations, when individual applicants, or applicants which are

body corporate, are introduced to a financial institution by an introducer, the financial institution

should:

a) Obtain and maintain documentary evidence that the introducer is regulated for thepurposes of

preventing money laundering and terrorist financing; and

b) Be satisfied that the procedures laid down by the introducer meet the requirements specified in the

FIAMLA and FIAML Regulations 2018.

B2B Prime Services' Board of Directors or equivalent senior management will ensure that periodic

testing of the above arrangements are conducted, in order to ensure compliance with thecurrent

legislative framework with respect to the above provision.

6. Targeted Financial Sanctions (TFS)

6.1. Screening

• Clients and transactions are screened against the required sanctions lists in 2 differentways: a) The

Company uses Sum Sub as automatic screening tool

b) Manually – this is done by accessing the publicly available lists, which can be downloaded from the

UN, FIU or the NSSEC websites.

• Documentation to evidence that clients and transactions have been screened has to be kept;

• The focus should not only be on the names of persons and entities listed on UN sanctionslists, but

also identify the persons and entities linked to them;

• Each incoming and outgoing transaction should similarly be screened for a potential match with

sanctions lists. Screening should be focused at a point in the transaction where detection of sanctions

risk is actionable – where a transaction can be stopped and funds frozen if required – and before a

potential violation occurs.

6.2. Matches and Escalation

• An alert that is generated by a potential match might not, on its own, be an indication of sanctions

risk. It should act as a trigger which can be confirmed or discounted with additional information gained

through further investigation. Adequate records of these investigations have to be maintained.

• Senior management should be alerted before action taken when identifying a true matchand or

freezing assets, where it is appropriate.

• In the event that a true match is identified, the match and any associated asset freezing should be

reported within 24 hours to the NSSEC and the FSC. (The reporting template onPositive Match needs

to be filed as an Appendix)

• An STR should be also filed to the FIU.

6.3. Freezing and Prohibition on dealing with funds and Assets

• It is required to immediately and without delay freeze the assets of designated persons. In other

words, this means ceasing any dealings and securing the funds and other assets, including financial

assets and economic resources, that are owned or controlled, directly or indirectly, by the persons or

entities designated by the UNSC or the NSSEC. This also encompasses the freezing of funds, other

financial assets and economic resources of persons or entities acting on behalf of, or at the direction

of, those designated by the UNSC or NSSEC.

• New freezes are required to be implemented immediately, and without prior notice to the person.

• The account of any designated person identified as an existing client must not be closed, asthis could

result in funds or economic resources being made available to the designated person. • The obligation

to report and freeze extends to attempted as well as future transactions. Where

a transaction is attempted and monies or other assets have been passed to a Licensee with a view to

completing the transaction, these monies or assets must not behanded back to the entity if the

transaction is aborted following a match; and

• The obligation to freeze covers funds and other assets e.g. non-cash assets such as wills,real estate

deeds, boats, jewellery, corporate licenses etc. However, where assets are frozen, there is a

requirement to maintain the value of such an asset.

6.4. Unfreezing

B2B Prime Services will be informed of a designation removal or unfreezing order in the same manner

that they are informed of a new designation.

6.5. Important Aspects

• Financial sanctions apply to all clients and all transactions; there is no minimum financiallimit.

• Politically Exposed Persons (PEPs) can be, but are not necessarily designated persons under targeted

financial sanction regimes. The requirement to identify clients that are PEPsand the requirement to

identify clients that are designated persons for targeted financial sanctions are separate obligations.

• The targeted financialsanctionsregime is not the same asthe FSC"s enforcement regime, which

sanctions Licensee's for non-compliance with their AML/CFT and targeted financialsanctions

obligations.

7. Ongoing Monitoring

An existing business relationship is required to be monitored so that money laundering or terrorist

financing may be identified and prevented, and to ensure that it is consistent with the nature of

business stated at the establishment of the relationship.

There are two types of on-going monitoring:

• The first relates to the transactions and activity which occur on a day-to-day basis within abusiness

relationship and which need to be monitored to ensure they remain consistent with the financial

institution's understanding of the customer and the product or service it is providing to the customer.

Scrutiny of transactions undertaken throughout the course of the relationship, including, where

necessary, the source of funds, to ensure that the transactions are consistent with his knowledge of

the customer and the business and risk profile of the customer.

• The second relates to the customer themselves and the requirement for the financial institution to

ensure that it continues to have a good understanding of its customers and their beneficial owners.

This is achieved through maintaining relevant and appropriate CDD and applying appropriate ongoing

screening.

Ensuring that documents data or information collected under the Customer Due Diligence (CDD)

process are kept up to date and relevant by undertaking reviews of existing records, in particular for

higher risk categories of customers.

Examples of the additional monitoring arrangements for high risk relationships could include:

Undertaking more frequent reviews of high risk relationships and updating CDD;

• Information on a more regular basis;

Undertaking more regular reviews of transactions and activity against the profile and expected

activity of the business relationship;

Applying lower monetary thresholds for the monitoring of transactions and activity;

• Reviews being conducted by persons not directly involved in managing the relationship, for example,

the CO;

• Ensuring that the financial institution has adequate MI systems to provide the board andCO with the

timely information needed to identify, analyse and effectively monitor high risk relationships and

accounts;

Appropriate approval procedures for high value transactions in respect of high risk relationships;

and/or a greater understanding of the personal circumstances of high risk relationships, including an

awareness of sources of third party information.

8. Transactions

Transactions include opening of an account, issuing an account number, renting safe deposit boxes or

entering into a fiduciary relationship electronically or otherwise and it also includes a Proposed

Transaction.

8.1. Transaction Verification

• In order to verify a Transaction, the following data is required:

a) Name of the Client / Entity;

b) Address of Client / Entity;

c) Name of Invoicing party;

d) Company / Entity registration number;

e) Location of registration;

• The Principals of the Contracting parties

a) Online searches using AML Manual specified websites, Consolidated United Nations Security

Council Sanctions List (UN), European Union Consolidated List (EU), Higher Risk countries identified

by FATF; Internet Explorer and Google website search.

8.2. Compliance of Transactions

• The transaction details are not furnished, the AML and/or Compliance Officer will reject the transfer

until the information is supplied.

• The client is to be advised of the missing documentation and given a delay of 7 workingdays to supply

the same.

• If the client fails to supply the documentation within the given delay, the transaction is disregarded.

8.3. Suspicious Transactions

• A suspicious transaction is a transaction where the laundering of money or the proceeds of any crime

or funds linked to or related to or being used for terrorism or acts of terrorismby prescribed

organizations, whether or not the funds represent the proceeds of a crime itself; and or

• The transaction is made in circumstances which are unusual or unjustifiably complex; • have no

economic justification or lawful objective; and or

• The Transactions are made by or on behalf of a person whose identify cannot be established to the

satisfaction of the parties carrying out the instruction; and or gives rise tosuspicion for any reason.

8.3.1. Suspicion

The transacting party may believe that a transaction is suspicious if the transaction involves: •

Laundering of money or proceeds of any crime; funds linked or related to terrorism or terrorist

activities; unjustifiable complexity; unjustifiable economic or lawful objective; identity cannot be

established or any other valid and justifiable reason.

• The Guidance Note_310817 Suspicious Transaction Report Jan 2014 to be read inconjunction with

this document for relevance of specific examples of indicators of Suspicious Transactions. • General

examples creating suspicion:

a) Knowledge of reporting or record keeping requirements not in place;

b) Identity document suspicion;

c) Cash Transaction suspicion;

d) Economic purposes not valid;

e) Transactions involving suspicious circumstances around the account;

- Industry Specific Indicators creating suspicion:
- a) Personal transactions which are suspicious;
- **b)** Corporate and business transactions;
- c) Non-Profit Organisations (including Charities);
- d) Electronic Funds Transfers (EFTs);
- e) Loans;
- f) Life Insurance Companies, Brokers and agents;
- g) Securities Dealers;
- h) Money Services Businesses;
- i) Accountants;
- j) Real Estate Brokers and Sales Reps;
- k) Jewellers;
- I) Casinos;
- m) List of Persons/Entities on Terrorist lists;
- n) Cash Transactions exceeding Rs500k/GBP10k.

9. Suspicious Transactions Report (STR)

- All staff members are required to submit an STR to the CO, when coming across a transaction, client or activity that they consider suspicious and after further examination of the same. The STR shall be passed from the CO to the MLRO.
- The MLRO shall assess the information contained within the report to determine whetherthere are reasonable grounds for knowing or suspecting that the activity is related to ML/TF or Proliferation Financing.
- The MLRO shall forthwith make a report to the FIU where there is reason to believe that an internal disclosure may be suspicious.
- An internal registry should be kept for STRs that have not been submitted to the FIU; and The internal registry should be updated in a monthly basis, regardless of any suspicious transactions, clients or activities have been flagged or a STR being submitted. An external registry should be kept for STRs that have been submitted to the FIU. A maximum delay of 5 working days is required for the reporting of the STR to the FIU, after the MLRO becomes aware of a suspicious transaction or activity.
- Where the reporting person becomes aware of a suspicious transaction, or ought reasonably to have become aware of a suspicious transaction, and he/she fails to make a report to FIU of such transaction not later than 5 working days after the suspicion arose heshall commit an offence and shall, on

conviction, be liable to fine not exceeding one million rupees and to

imprisonment for a term not exceeding 5 years.

• A STR can be submitted to the FIU electronically only from Banks as they are registered with the FIU.

MC"s are required to submit STR"s manually.

• The Form Annex 13 is found under Operations Manual Appendices. It needs to be completed

manually and submitted by hand delivery at the reception of the FIU building at7th Floor, Ebène

Heights, 34, Ebène Cybercity, Ebène, Republic of Mauritius, or by facsimile at fax number +230 466

2431.

• The form proposed by the FIU is very complete and reporting parties are therefore required to

complete the form as prescribed, both completely and with sufficient information so that the

necessary follow-up and action can take place. The information should include WHO, WHAT, WHEN,

WHERE, WHY. (Details thereto are found on theGuidance Notes from the FIU.) Late filings or

incomplete filings negate the effectiveness of the law enforcement ability to determine what has

transpired and what action is to be taken. To note the Entity Reference

Number is key and will be referred to on all investigation and documentation relating to said STR. This

ERN will be allocated by the FIU upon receipt and acceptance of the filed STR. The Indicator prompting

the filing of the STR; the Description of the STR and the Material impact are all part of this form which

needs to be filled out prior to filing the STR. Transaction details for advice/guidance, please refer to

the FIU Guidance Note 3.

9.1. Action to be taken

• Inform a law enforcement agency, or your supervisory body/ regulatory authority; • Discontinue the

business relationship with the client e.g. closed his/her account; • Continue to monitor the clients'

account;

• Commence an internal investigation on the client's accounts/business;

• Any other steps taken in addition to reporting the suspicion to the FIU.

9.2. Further action to be taken or information to be supplied

• The Director of the FIU may ask for additional information and to note that no action can be taken

against the party making the report. However, non-reporting incurs fines and criminal charges.

• The FIU operates in compliance with the Data Protection Act of 2004 but this Act has been

superseded by the DPA of 2018. The Guidance notes should be updated shortly by the FIU and as such

the STR procedures will be updated.

10. Loss of Contact with Client (PEP) or otherwise

The loss of contact with the client may occur when the client has either deceased and not left any

alternate contacts; has moved physical address for personal or business reasons and purposely does

leave either forwarding contact details or any means of further contact or simply has been negligent

inkeeping up to date on his affairs.

The Client should already have been classified one of low, medium or high risk. In the event the Client

is of low or medium risk it is possible that there is no contact with the client within an 11-month period.

In the event the Client is of High risk or a Politically Exposed Person, there should be regular contact

throughout the year and review of the file because of the nature of the client. If the Client is not

responding to regular contact methods, the following steps should be taken by the Compliance Officer.

• Original follow-up document sent with advice of delivery to the last recorded physicaladdress on file.

• Follow-up within a one-month period

• During the above period, the client may be contacted. The documentation advising the client of the

proceedings of the Company, including fees and other responsibilities may be delivered by the local

office to the physical address of the client if known.

Although the client may persist in not responding to any of the contact made, a continued annual

contact is to be made until such stage as the company itself is wound up or the Board takes alternative

action.

• Should the client be unreachable within a period of one year, the Commission will beinformed

accordingly.

The Board is to review on an annual basis all Client files where the client is no longer responding to

any contact and may take further action on the Client as is deemed appropriate taking into account

the Business Risk to the Company.

11. Examples of Documentary Evidence to be collected to evidence Source of Wealth

11.1. Sales of Securities or other Investment

Investment/savings certificates, contract notes or statements;

• Written confirmation from the relevant investment company on letter headed paper • Bank

statement showing receipt of funds from investment company name; or • Signed letter detailing funds

from a warranted accountant on letter headed paper.

11.2. Sale of Property

• Signed letter from a lawyer or a notary on a letter headed paper; or

• Contract of Sale.

11.3. Maturing Investment or Policy Claim

• Letter from previous investment company on letter headed paper notifying proceeds ofclaim; •

Chargeable Event Certificate; or Closing statement.

30 | Page

11.4. Individual owns policy/company pays premium

• A copy of trading details or an annual report from the company's website (if applicable) • Hard copy

of the latest annual report; or

• Copy of the company's certificate of incorporation (or equivalent); and

• Policy statement; or

• Bank statement showing credit.

11.5. Dividends or profits from private company

• Dividend contract note;

• Letter showing dividend details signed by a warranted accountant on letter headed paper • Set of

company accounts showing the dividends details; or

• Bank statement clearly showing receipt of funds and the name of the company paying dividend; and

• A document providing proof of shareholding such as a copy of the Memo & Arts, Certificate of

Incumbency or a dated print-out of a company registry search.

11.6. Company Sale

• Signed letter from a lawyer on a letter headed paper;

• Signed letter from a warranted accountant on a letter headed paper;

• Copy of contract of sale and bank statement showing credit to account consequent to thesale; or

• Copies of media coverage (where applicable) as supporting evidence.

11.7. Inheritance

• A copy of the will that must include the value of the estate; or

• A lawyer or notary's letter on letter headed paper or a letter from the trustees of an estatethat

includes the type of asset and respective value.

11.8. Maturity or redemption or a shareholder's loan

• Loan agreement;

• Recent loan statements.

11.9. Gift

• Document (e.g. letter from the donor) showing who gave the gift, when, the relationship between

the donor and done and (if possible and applicable) why the donation was made, together with the

verification of identity of the donor, and information about the source of the donor's wealth.

11.10. Lottery/betting/casino win

• Letter from relevant organisation (lottery, headquarters/betting shop/casino); • A certificate of

winnings issued by the relevant company or casino;

• In the case of lottery winnings, a bank statement showing funds deposited by companyname; or

• Copies of media coverage (if applicable) as supporting evidence.

11.11. Compensation payment (this could be a decision or award by a court, Tribunal or arbiter or

else and out-of-court settlement)

• A letter/court order from a compensating body clearly showing the amount ofcompensation; or •

Lawyer's letter on letter headed paper clearly establishing the amount.

11.12. Savings and investment

• Bank Statement/s demonstrating deposit/gifted monies; or

• Documentation evidencing an inward transfer from portfolio.

11.13. Insurance claims

• A letter from the insurance provider on a letter headed paper

11.14. Divorce or separation settlement

• A copy of the court order or judicial separation agreement and verification that funds have originated

from the account of the former spouse.

11.15. Income from employment (including bonus)

• An original or certified copy of a recent pay slip;

• Written confirmation of annual salary/bonus amounts signed by employer; or • Bank statement

clearly showing receipt fo most recent regular salary payment from named employer.



11.16. Retirement Income

- Pension Statement;
- Letter from a warranted accountant on letter headed paper;
- Letter from annuity provider; or
- Bank statement showing receipt of latest pension income and name of provider.

11.17. Other Monies:

- Appropriate supporting documentation; or
- Signed letter detailing funds from warranted accountant/lawyer/entity licensed to provide investment services on letter headed paper.

4. ADDITIONAL MANUALS THAT FORM PART OF COMPANY POLICY DOCUMENTS

The following manuals, Policies and appendices should be read in conjunction with this operational manual:

- B2B Prime Services Internal Procedures Manual
- Privacy Policy

5. CHANEGS:

Previous Version	1.0
Current Version	2.0
Date of Current version	January 2025
Changes Brought	
Application and Responsibility	



Addition to last paragraph	Breach of policies and procedure set out in
	this Manual by anyone in the Company
	will lead to disciplinary action as may
	deem appropriate by the Board of
	Director. The Company shall maintain a
	register of breaches and the responsibility
	to monitor and maintain the breach
	register shall be the designated
	Compliance officer of the Company.
PART 1	



Inclusion of section 11

AML Offences in Mauritius

- A. Section 3 of the FIAMLA states that:
- "(1) Any person who
- a) engages in a transaction that involves property which is, or in whole or in part directly or indirectly represents, the proceeds of any crime; or
- b) receives, is in possession of, conceals, disguises, transfers, converts, disposes of, removes from or brings into Mauritius any property which is, or in whole or in part directly or indirectly represents, the proceeds of any crime, where he suspects or has reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property is derived or realized, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly from any crime, shall commit an offence.
- (2) A reporting person who fails to take such measures as are reasonably necessary to ensure that neither he, nor any service offered by him, is capable of being used by a person to commit or to facilitate the commission of a money laundering offence or the financing of terrorism shall commit an offence.

B. Section 4 of the FIAMLA states:

Without prejudice to section 109 of the Criminal Code (Supplementary) Act, any person who agrees with one or more other persons to commit an offence specified in section 3(1) and (2) shall



commit an offence.

C. Section 5 of the FIAMLA states:

(1) Notwithstanding section 37 of the Bank of Mauritius Act 2004, but subject to subsection (2), any person who makes or accepts any payment in cash in excess of 500,000 rupees or an equivalent amount in foreign currency, or such amount as may be prescribed, shall commit an offence. (2) Subsection (1) shall not apply to an exempt transaction.

D. Section 8 of the FIAMLA states:

- (1) Any person who –
- (a) commits an offence under this Part; or
- (b) disposes or otherwise deals with property subject to a forfeiture order under subsection (2), shall, on conviction,



be liable to a fine not exceeding 10 million rupees and to penal servitude for a term not exceeding 20 years. (2) Any property belonging to or in the possession or under the control of any person who is convicted of an offence under this Part shall be deemed, unless the contrary is proved, to be derived from a crime and the Court may, in addition to any penalty imposed, order that the property be forfeited.

(3) Sections 150, 151 and Part X of the Criminal Procedure Act and the Probation of Offenders Act shall not apply to a conviction under this Part.

E. Section 16(1) of FIAMLA states:

Any reporting person and its officers shall not disclose to any person that a suspicious transaction report is being or has been filed, or that related information is being or has been requested by, furnished or submitted to FIU.

F. Section 16(3a) of FIAMLA states:

Any person who fails to comply with subsection (1) shall commit an offence and shall, on conviction, be liable to a fine not exceeding 5 million rupees and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years.

G. Section 17(c)(6) of FIAMLA states:

Customer due diligence requirements

Any person who knowingly provides any



false or misleading information to a reporting person in connection with CDD requirements under the FIAMLA or any guidelines issued under this Act shall commit an offence and shall, on conviction, be liable to a fine not exceeding 500,000 rupees and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 5 years.

H. Section 19 of FIAMLA states:

Offences relating to obligation to report and keep records and to disclosure of information prejudicial to a request

- (1) Any reporting person, or any director, employee, agent or other legal representative of a reporting person who, knowingly or without reasonable excuse –
- (a) fails to comply with section 17, 17A,17B. 17C, 17D, 17E, 17F or 17G;
- (b) destroys or removes any record, register or document which is required under this Act or any regulations; or (c) facilitates or permits the performance under a false identity of any transaction falling within this Part, shall commit an offence and shall, on conviction, be liable to a fine not exceeding 10 million rupees and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 5 years. (2) Any person who —

 (a) falsifies, conceals, destroys or otherwise disposes of or causes or permits the falsification, concealment,



destruction or disposal of any information, document or material which is or is likely to be relevant to a request to under the Mutual Assistance in Criminal and Related Matters Act 2003; or

(b) knowing or suspecting that an investigation into a money laundering offence has been or is about to be conducted, divulges that fact or other information to another person whereby the making or execution of a request to under the Mutual Assistance in Criminal and Related Matters Act 2003 is likely to be prejudiced, shall commit an offence and shall, on conviction, be liable to a fine not exceeding one million rupees and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 5 years.

I. Section 19e of the FIAMLA provides that: Duty to provide information

Any person who fails to comply with a request made under subsection (2)(b) shall commit an offence and shall, on conviction, be liable to a fine not exceeding one million rupees and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 5 years.

J. FIAML Regulations 2018

Regulation 33 of FIAML Regulations 2018 states that any person who



contravenes the FIAML Regulations 2018 shall commit an offence and shall on conviction be liable to a fine not exceeding one million rupees and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 5 years.



Update of section 12 by adding	ALL Records shall be kept appropriately for a period of at least Seven (7) Years
PART II	
Update section 3 through by adding the following	While conducting it Business Risk, the Findings of the latest National Risk Assessment of the Jurisdiction must be taken into consideration as part of its assessment.
PART III	
Change section 6.2 by replacing "immediately" to	"within 24 hours"
Rational of changes	Recommendation from Auditor following AML Independent Audit. Report dated 23 April 2024.
Changes effective as from	03/12/2025
Approved by Board on	03/12/2025
Next Review of Manual scheduled for	03/12/2026